



The 2013 Bulldog Invitational Tabulation Summary

New Haven, CT | November 16-17th, 2013

Team	Round 1		Round 2		Round 3		Round 4		Summary
American Heritage HS Boca Delray <i>Timothy Dwight</i>	Π v. Branford		Δ v. Calhoun		Π v. Stiles		Δ v. Davenport		7-1 CS: 17.5 PD: 40
	W	L	W	W	W	W	W	W	
	+9	-4	+9	+4	+15	+2	+1	+4	
American Heritage HS, Plantation <i>Silliman</i>	Π v. Ezra Stiles		Δ v. Jonathan Edwards		Δ v. Berkeley		Π v. Pierson		3-4-1 CS: 14.5 PD: 32
	L	L	L	W	W	T	W	L	
	-2	-5	-1	+21	+18	0	+9	-8	
Bishop Guertin <i>Branford</i>	Δ v. Timothy Dwight		Π v. Saybrook		Π v. Calhoun		Δ v. Morse		4-3-1 CS: 15.5 PD: 19
	W	L	W	W	L	W	T	L	
	+4	-9	+4	+35	-10	+3	0	-8	
Derryfield School <i>Berkeley</i>	Π v. Jonathan Edwards		Δ v. Pierson		Π v. Silliman		Δ v. Saybrook		3-5 CS: 11.5 PD: -36
	W	L	L	L	L	T	W	W	
	+2	-10	-22	-13	-18	0	+13	+12	
Fairfield Warde High School <i>Saybrook</i>	Π v. Calhoun		Δ v. Branford		Δ v. Morse		Π v. Berkeley		0-8 CS: 16.5 PD: -142
	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	
	-11	-16	-4	-35	-34	-17	-13	-12	
Fletcher High School <i>Trumbull</i>	Π v. Davenport		Δ v. Morse		Π v. Jonathan Edwards		Δ v. Calhoun		4-4 CS: 16.5 PD: -6
	L	L	W	W	W	W	L	L	
	-19	-13	+16	+7	+13	+1	-1	-10	
Ft. Thomas Highlands High School <i>Davenport</i>	Δ v. Trumbull		Π v. Stiles		Δ v. Pierson		Π v. Timothy Dwight		5-3 CS: 19 PD: 39
	W	W	W	L	W	W	L	L	
	+19	+13	+4	-6	+3	+11	-1	-4	
Lowndes High School <i>Calhoun</i>	Δ v. Saybrook		Π v. Timothy Dwight		Δ v. Branford		Π v. Trumbull		5-3 CS: 15.5 PD: 32
	W	W	L	L	W	L	W	W	
	+11	+16	-9	-4	+10	-3	+10	+1	
Marshfield High School Defense <i>Ezra Stiles</i>	Δ v. Silliman		Δ v. Davenport		Δ v. Timothy Dwight		Δ v. Jonathan Edwards		3-5 CS: 18.5 PD: -12
	W	W	W	L	L	L	L	T	
	+2	+5	+6	-4	-15	-2	-4	0	
Marshfield High School Plaintiff <i>Morse</i>	Π v. Pierson		Π v. Trumbull		Π v. Saybrook		Π v. Branford		3-5 CS: 18.5 PD: -12
	L	L	L	L	W	W	T	W	
	-6	-9	-7	-16	+34	+17	0	+8	

Roman Catholic High School <i>Pierson</i>	Δ v. Morse		Π v. Berkeley		Π v. Davenport		Δ v. Silliman		5-3 CS: 15.5 PD: 35
	W	W	W	W	L	L	L	W	
	+6	+9	+22	+13	-3	-11	-9	+8	
Souhegan High School <i>Jonathan Edwards</i>	Δ v. Berkeley		Π v. Silliman		Δ v. Trumbull		Π v. Stiles		3-5 CS: 14.5 PD: -22
	L	W	L	W	L	L	W	T	
	-2	+10	-21	+1	-1	-13	+4	0	

Outstanding Trial Teams

1. Timothy Dwight, American Heritage High School Boca Delray, 7-1
2. Davenport, Fort Thomas Highlands High School, 5-3, CS: 19
3. Pierson, Roman Catholic High School, 5-3, CS: 15.5, PD: 35
4. Calhoun, Lowndes High School, 5-3, CS: 15.5, PD: 32

Outstanding Attorney Awards

20 Ranks

Ariana Bagherian, American Heritage HS Boca Delray (Δ)
Rayne Sullivan, American Heritage HS Plantation (Δ)

18 Ranks

Kat Mail, Bishop Guertin (Δ)

17 Ranks

Corrine Ghannoum, American Heritage HS Plantation (Π)
Nicki Moser, Fletcher HS (Π)
Emma Perez, American Heritage HS Boca Delray (Π)
Savannah Pierce, Lowndes HS (Δ)
Kyle Westerfer, Roman Catholic HS (Π)

Outstanding Witness Awards

20 Ranks

Collier Mutert, Lowndes HS (Δ)(also 16 ranks on Π)
Ava Vardiman, Fort Thomas Highlands HS (Δ)

18 Ranks

Ryan McCurry, American Heritage HS Boca Delray (Π)
Hailey Moll, Derryfield School (Δ)
Jessie Stiles, Fletcher HS (Δ)

17 Ranks

Luke Heber, American Heritage HS Boca Delray (Δ)

16 Ranks

Maria Riillo, American Heritage HS Plantation (Δ)

Tabbing Guide

Record is the number of ballots a team has won. With two judges in each round, the largest possible number of ballots a team could win was 8. If a ballot was a tie, that counted as a half win for both teams.

CS, or Combined Strength, was the first tie-breaker. Combined Strength is the number of ballots won by a team's opponents. Therefore, if two teams have the same record, the team that went against stronger teams will be ranked higher.

PD, or Point Differential, was the second tie-breaker. Point Differential is the sum of the number of points a team has won or lost by. Therefore, if two teams have the same record and the same CS, the team that won their ballots by more points will be ranked higher.

Individual awards were calculated using the judges' rankings at the end of every ballot. The individual who received the highest ranking from a given judge received 5 ranks. A second rank was worth 4 ranks, a third rank was worth 3 ranks, and a fourth rank was worth 2 ranks. Individual awards were calculated for a given side of the case. Therefore, the highest possible number of ranks an individual could receive was 20, if all four judges on that side of the case ranked that individual first.